

Application No. 10/629,114  
Response dated APRIL 21, 2005  
Reply to Restriction Requirement dated March 22, 2005

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants have carefully reviewed the Office Action mailed on March 22, 2005.

The Examiner asserted that restriction to one species is required under 35 U.S.C. 121. The Examiner identified the following patently distinct species: Figures 1-3, 4, 6, 7-9, 10-11, 12, and 13-15. Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the species shown in Figures 13-15, without traverse, corresponding to claims 1-8, 12-19, 21-24, 41-49, and 53-64. As such, claims 9-11, 20, and 50-52 are withdrawn from consideration.

The Examiner indicated that claim 41 is currently generic. Applicants also respectfully submit that claim 1 is a generic method claim.

Reexamination and reconsideration are requested. It is respectfully submitted that all pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Issuance of a Notice of Allowance in due course is also respectfully requested. If a telephone conference might be of assistance, please contact the undersigned attorney at (612) 677-9050.

Respectfully submitted,

HAROLD CARRISON et al.

By their Attorney,

Date: April 21, 2005

  
J. Scot Wickhem, Reg. No. 41,376

CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC  
1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 800  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420  
Telephone: (612) 677-9050  
Facsimile: (612) 359-934